

Appln. No. 10/749,667
Response dated March 23, 2005 to
Response to Office Action dated February 25, 2005

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Reconsideration of the present application is respectfully requested.

The February 25, 2005 Office Action and the Examiner's comments have been carefully considered. In response, a species election has been made.

ELECTION

Responsive to the election requirement in item #1 on pages 2-3 of the Office Action, Applicant hereby elects Species A corresponding to Fig. 2A for "material attachment" and Species U corresponding to Fig. 9D for "material structure." Claims 1-3, 6, 10-13, 18-22 and 28-35 are readable on the elected species. This election is being made without traverse.

On page 3 of the Office Action the Examiner indicates that claims 1, 10 and 18 are generic claims. Upon allowance of a generic claim, it is respectfully requested that the Examiner consider all claims which depend therefrom or which otherwise include the limitations thereof, as indicated at the middle of page 3 of the Office Action.

It is respectfully submitted that this submission is fully responsive to the Office Action dated February 25, 2005. It is respectfully requested that prosecution on the merits now proceed on the basis of the election set forth hereinabove.

Appln. No. 10/749,667
Response dated March 23, 2005 to
Response to Office Action dated February 25, 2005

If the Examiner has any comments, questions, objections or recommendations, the Examiner is respectfully invited to telephone the undersigned at the telephone number listed below for prompt action.

Respectfully submitted,



Robert P. Michalek
Reg. No. 35,614

Frishauf, Holtz, Goodman & Chick, P.C.
767 Third Avenue - 25th Floor
New York, New York 10017-2032
Tel. (212) 319-4900
Fax (212) 319-5101
RPM/ms